1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	LINITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	EASTERIVEISTR	del of california
11	MARCELLAS HOFFMAN,	Case No. 1:16-cv-01617-LJO-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	Appeal No. 20-15396
13	V.	ORDER REGARDING IN FORMA PAUPERIS
14	TIMOTHY PRESTON,	STATUS ON APPEAL (ECF No. 62)
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Marcellas Hoffman is a federal prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i>	
18	in this civil rights action pursuant to Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of	
19	Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). On June 13, 2019, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's First	
20	Amendment retaliation claim for failure to state a cognizable claim under <u>Bivens</u> . (ECF No. 44.)	
21	On January 4, 2020, the Court granted Defendant Timothy Preston's motion to dismiss without	
22	leave to amend and dismissed this action, with prejudice, for failure to state a claim upon which	
23	relief may be granted under <u>Bivens</u> . (ECF No. 57.) Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal, pursuant to	
24	the prison mailbox rule, on March 1, 2020. (ECF No. 59.)	
25	On March 12, 2020, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals referred this matter back to this	
26	Court for the limited purpose of determining whether in forma pauperis status should continue for	
27	the appeal. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). (ECF No. 62.) The Court finds that the appeal is not	
28	frivolous or taken in bad faith. Therefore, Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status should not be	

1	revoked and should continue for the appeal.	
2 3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4	Dated: March 16, 2020	July A. Lave
5		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		