

1 Maria S. Bellafronto (State Bar No. 161994)
mbellafronto@hopkinscarley.com
2 Monique D. Jewett-Brewster (State Bar No. 217792)
mjb@hopkinscarley.com
3 HOPKINS & CARLEY
A Law Corporation
4 The Letitia Building
70 S First Street
5 San Jose, CA 95113-2406

6 **mailing address:**
P.O. Box 1469
7 San Jose, CA 95109-1469
Telephone: (408) 286-9800
8 Facsimile: (408) 998-4790

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
GULAMNABI VAHORA, M.D., Ph.D.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 FRESNO DIVISION

14 GULAMNABI VAHORA, M.D., Ph.D.,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 VALLEY DIAGNOSTICS
LABORATORY INC., NAEEM
18 MUJTABA QARNI (a/k/a QARNI
NAEEM UL MUJTABA), NAJAM UL
19 MUJTABA QARNI and SHEIKH M.
MASOOD, M.D.,

20 Defendants.
21

CASE NO. 1:16-CV-01624-LJO-SKO

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
CONTINUE RULE 26(f) DISCOVERY
CONFERENCE PENDING RESOLUTION
OF MOTION TO DISMISS**

Hon. Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto

1 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Dr. Gulamnabi Vahora (“Plaintiff” or “Dr. Vahora”) filed his
2 Complaint in the above-captioned case on October 26, 2016 (Dkt. No. 1);

3 WHEREAS, Defendants Valley Diagnostic Laboratory, Inc. (“VDL”), Sheikh M. Masood
4 (“Masood”) and Naeem Mujtaba Qarni (“Naeem,” or together with VDL and Masood, “Moving
5 Defendants”) filed their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Complaint on January 9, 2017 (Dkt. No 8);

6 WHEREAS, the Court vacated the Motion to Dismiss hearing set for February 15, 2017
7 and will issue a written decision on the Motion to Dismiss without the need for oral argument
8 (Dkt. No. 14);

9 WHEREAS, on February 14, 2017, the Court continued the Initial Scheduling Conference
10 set for February 3, 2017 to April 4, 2017 at 10:30 a.m. due to the pending Motion to Dismiss,
11 (Dkt. No. 15); and

12 WHEREAS, the parties desire to continue the Rule 26(f) discovery conference in this case
13 pending the Court’s entry of its order on the Motion to Dismiss in this case.

14 THEREFORE, the parties hereby agree and stipulate that, subject to the Court’s approval,
15 the parties’ deadline to complete the Rule 26(f) discovery conference in this case shall be
16 continued until seven (7) calendar days after the Court enters its order on the pending Motion to
17 Dismiss to Plaintiff’s Complaint.

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: March 15, 2017

HOPKINS & CARLEY
A Law Corporation

By: /s/ Maria S. Bellafronto
Maria S. Bellafronto
Monique D. Jewett-Brewster
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: March 21, 2017

LAW OFFICE OF PETER SEAN BRADLEY
BRADLEY

By: /s/ Peter Sean Bradley
Peter Sean Bradley
Attorneys for Defendants

ORDER

Pursuant to the parties' "Stipulation to Continue Rule 26(f) Discovery Conference Pending Resolution of Motion to Dismiss" (Doc. 22), and good cause appearing, the Court hereby **CONTINUES the Scheduling Conference to May 23, 2017, at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom 7 before Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto.** The parties shall file their Joint Scheduling Report by no later than May 16, 2017.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 21, 2017

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE