1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED S'	TATES DISTRICT COUDT
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CONNIE ARNOLD,) Case No.: 1:16-CV-01625-LJO-EPG
12	Plaintiff,)
13) ORDER RE: CONSENT DECREE FOR) SETTLEMENT OF PLAINTIFF'S
14	vs.) INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CLAIMS ONLY
15	CITY OF FRESNO, et al.,)
16	Defendants.)
17)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23 24		
24 25		
25 26		
20 27		
27		
20		
	ORDER RE: CONSENT DECREE FOR SETT: Case No.: 1:16-CV-01625-LJO-EPG	LEMENT OF PLAINTIFF'S INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CLAIMS ONLY 1

I

ORDER ON CONSENT DECREE

1

2

3	Pursuant to the concurrently-filed Consent Decree for Settlement of Plaintiff's Injunctive Relief Claims Only, and for good cause shown, Defendant is ordered to	
4		
5		
6	perform the remedial measures in accordance with the Consent Decree. If said	
7	remedial measures are performed and completed in accordance with the time frames set forth in the Consent Decree, which the Court finds to be a reasonable time frame	
8 9		
10	in light of the circumstances, there shall be a finding that the affected facilities are in	
11	compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and the 2010 ADA Standards for Accessible Design. Therefore, Court makes the following orders:	
12 13		
14	1. The concurrently-filed Consent Decree is hereby ordered.	
15	2. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over this action to enforce provisions of	
16		
17	the Consent Decree and Order.	
18		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	Dated: June 12, 2017 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill	
21	UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE	
22 23		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28		