1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

v.

Defendant.

SECURITY,

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

/// 28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SYLVIA KATE LYON, Case No. 1:16-cv-01634-SAB

> ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANT TO FILE Plaintiff, COPY OF ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

WITHIN FOURTEEN DAYS COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL

On October 28, 2016, Plaintiff filed the present action in this court seeking review of the Commissioner's denial of an application for benefits. (ECF No. 1.) On October 31, 2016, the Court issued a scheduling order. (ECF No. 5). The scheduling order states that within 120 days after service, Defendant shall file and serve a copy of the administrative record which shall be deemed an answer to the complaint. On December 6, 2016, proof of service was returned showing that Defendant was served by certified mail on November 18, 2016. (ECF No. 7.) More than 120 days have passed and Defendant has not filed the administrative record.

Local Rule 110 provides that "[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court." The Court has the inherent power to control its docket and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate, including dismissal of the action. Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000).

## 

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Within fourteen days from the date of service of this order, Defendant shall file and serve a copy of the administrative record; and
- 2. Failure to respond to this order could result in the imposition of sanctions, up to an including an entry of default.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 22, 2017

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE