

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 DEBRA SAUCEDA, et al.,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 FORD MOTOR COMPANY, et al.,

15 Defendants.

Case No. 1:16-cv-01635-DAD-SAB

ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO
SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS ACTION
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

(ECF No. 25)

FIVE DAY DEADLINE

16
17 On April 14, 2017, Plaintiff filed a notice informing the Court that this action had been
18 settled. Plaintiff was ordered to file dispositional documents within sixty days of April 18, 2017.
19 More than sixty days have passed and Plaintiff has not filed dispositional documents or
20 otherwise responded to the April 18, 2017 order.

21 Local Rule 110 provides that “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these
22 Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all
23 sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” The Court has the inherent power to
24 control its docket and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate,
25 including dismissal of the action. Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir.
26 2000).

27 Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE within **five**
28 **(5) days** of the date of entry of this order why dispositive documents have not yet been filed.

1 **Plaintiff is forewarned that the failure to show cause may result in the imposition of**
2 **sanctions, including the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute.**

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: **July 10, 2017**


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28