2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MARK ASHELY, individually and on behalf of )	Case No.: 1:16-CV-1638- JLT	
12	those similarly situated ) Plaintiffs, )	SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)	
13	v.	Pleading Amendment Deadline: 10/20/2017	
14	SHERIFF DONNY YOUNGBLOOD,	Notice and Opt-in Deadlines: Stipulated Notice: 9/22/2017	
15	Defendant.	Mailing: 10/6/2017 Opt-in Deadline: 12/20/2017	
16	)	Discovery Deadlines:	
17		Initial Disclosures: 9/8/2017 Non-Expert: 8/31/2018	
18		Expert: 10/26/2018 Mid-Discovery Status Conference:	
19		3/26/2018 at 8:30 a.m.	
20		Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 11/9/2018	
21		Hearing: 12/7/2018	
22		Certification/ Dispositive Motion Deadlines: Filing: 12/17/2018	
23		Hearing: 1/28/2019	
24		Pre-Trial Conference: 3/11/2019 at 10:00 a.m.	
25		510 19 <sup>th</sup> Street, Bakersfield, CA	
26		Trial: 5/13/2019 at 8:30 a.m. 510 19 <sup>th</sup> Street, Bakersfield, CA	
27		Jury trial: 20-30 days	
28			

1	I.	Date of Scheduling Conference	
2		August 23, 2017.	
3	II.	Appearances of Counsel	
4		Gregory Peterson appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.	
5		Nathan Austin appeared on behalf of Defendant.	
6	III.	<u>Pleading Amendment Deadline</u>	
7		Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or	
8	motion to amend, no later than October 20, 2017.		
9	IV.	Fictitiously-Named Defendants	
10		All claims as to "Doe" Defendants, including any counterclaims and cross-claims, are hereby	
11	DISMISSED.		
12	v.	Notice to Potential Opt-in Plaintiffs	
13		The parties <b>SHALL</b> file a stipulated notice, with a consent form, no later than <b>September 22</b> ,	
14	2017.	Following approval by the Court, the plaintiff <b>SHALL</b> give notice to the prospective members	
15	of the collection no later than <b>October 6, 2017</b> . Any individuals seeking to opt-in to the action must		
16	complete and file the consent form no later than <b>December 20, 2017</b> .		
17	VI.	<u>Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date</u>	
18		The parties disagree whether the plaintiff may proceed on a donning/doffing claim. Notably,	
19	the Fir	st Amended Complaint does not specifically state a claim for donning/doffing. To the extent that	
20	he is ra	aising donning/doffing, the motion to dismiss was granted without leave to amend on this topic.	
21	Thus, the Court agrees with the defendant that a donning/doffing claim is no longer at issue.		
22	Nevertheless, the Court does not preclude the plaintiff from proceeding on claims he was required to		
23	engage in other off-the-clock activities as set forth in the First Amended Complaint.		
24		The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)	
25	on or b	pefore September 8, 2018.	

The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before **August 31, 2018**, and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before **October 26, 2018**.

The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or September 14, 2018,

and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before **October 5, 2018**. The written designation of retained and non-retained experts shall <u>be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B), and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder</u>. Failure to designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for March 26, 2018 at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one week before the conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the status report via e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The joint statement SHALL outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel may appear via teleconference by dialing (888) 557-8511 and entering Access Code 1652736, provided the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk receives a written notice of the intent to appear telephonically no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

### VII. <u>Pre-Trial Motion Schedule</u>

All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later than **November 9, 2018**, and heard on or before **December 7, 2018**. The Court hears non-dispositive motions at 9:00 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California.

No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it is filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend. Likewise, no written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by

7

11 12 13

8

10

15

14

17 18

16

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28 agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from the Court's calendar.

The motion to certify the collective action shall be filed no later than **December 17, 2018**, and heard no later than **January 28, 2019**, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California. Likewise, all dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **December 17, 2018**, and heard no later than January 28, 2019, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge, at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California. In scheduling such motions, counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.

## VIII. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts at least five days before the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion the moving party **SHALL** certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. **Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken. IX. Pre-Trial Conference March 11, 2019**, at 10:00 a.m., located at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield,

California, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge.

The parties are ordered to file a <u>Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2)</u>. The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format, directly to Judge Thurston's chambers, by email at JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to **Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules** of Practice for the Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference. The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

## X. Trial Date

**May 13, 2019**, at 8:30 a.m. at the United States District Courthouse in Bakersfield, California, before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge.

- A. This is a jury trial.
- B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 20-30 days.
- Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of
   California, Rule 285.

### XI. Settlement Conference

If the parties desire a conference with the Court, they may file a joint written request for a settlement conference. Alternatively, the parties may file a joint written request for referral to the Court's Voluntary Dispute Resolution Program.

# XII. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other Techniques to Shorten Trial

Not applicable at this time.

### XIII. Related Matters Pending

This action is related to Wonderly v. County of Kern, Case No. 1:16-cv-1621-JLT.

## XIV. Compliance with Federal Procedure

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules to efficiently handle its increasing case load, and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

### XV. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested.

Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

22 | IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 23, 2017 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

\_ \_