1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARK ASHELY, individually and behalf of ) Case No.: 1:16-cv-01638- JLT	
12	those similarly situated, ) ORDER TO PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ) SANGTIONS SHOULD NOT DE IMPOSED FOD	
13	Plaintiffs, ) SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR   v. ) HIS FAILURE TO SECURE   v. ) SUBSTITUTE/ADDITIONAL COUNSEL	
14	v. / SUBSTITUTE/ADDITIONAL COUNSEL	
15	Defendants.	
16	)	
17	On June 20, 2018, the Court granted the stipulation of counsel to amend the case schedule.	
18	(Doc. 72) It did this because the plaintiff's attorney has been quite ill for an extended period and	
19	because counsel reported that the plaintiff would have either substitute counsel appear or would	
20	associate in another attorney. Id. at 1. The Court understood that retaining another attorney would	
21	alleviate the delays in discovery that have occurred due to counsel's illness. Thus, the Court set a	
22	deadline for new counsel to appear. That deadline has passed and no new counsel has appeared.	
23	Accordingly, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> :	
24	1. Within 10 days, the plaintiff <b>SHALL</b> show cause in writing why sanctions should not be	
25	imposed for his failure to comply with the Court's order. Sanctions may include	
26	dismissal of this action unless there is a showing that the case will suffer no more delays	
27	due to his current attorney's illnesses.	
28	///	
	1	

Ш

1	Failure to comply with this order may result in the Court dismissing the action.
2	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: July 10, 2018 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2