1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID ORTIZ,	Case No. 1:16-cv-01641-EPG
12	Plaintiff	ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE COURT TO CLOSE CASE
13	v.	
14	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL	(ECF No. 14)
15	SECURITY,	
16	Defendant.	
17	On July 31, 2017, the parties filed a stipulation to dismiss this action with prejudice. (ECF	
18		
19	No. 14.) Both parties have agreed to the dismissal. In light of the stipulation, the case has ended,	
20	see Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997),	
21	and is dismissed with prejudice. <i>Concha v. London</i> , 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995) ("Even if	
22	the defendant has filed a motion to dismiss, the plaintiff may terminate his action voluntarily by	
23	filing a notice of dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1)."). Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is	
24	DIRECTED to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		S . O 4 .
26	Dated: August 1, 2017	<u>/s/ Encir P. Story</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		