1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	VERROGIO, LTD.,	Case No. 1:16-cv-01647-DAD-SKO
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO
13	vs.	EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO CROSSCLAIM BETWEEN MALAKAN
14	MALAKAN DIAMOND CO.,	DIAMOND CO. AND HAROLD JAFFE JEWELRY, INC.
15		<u></u>
16	Defendant.	(Doc. 20)
17	MALAKAN DIAMOND CO.,	
18	Counterclaimant/Crossclaimant,	
19	ŕ	
20	VS.	
21	VERROGIO, LTD.; AE JEWELERS, INC.; AE JEWELERS OF APPLETON, LLC.; HAROLD	
22	JAFFE JEWELRY, INC.; JENSEN	
23	JEWELERS OF IDAHO, LLC; and DOES 1 to 10, <i>inclusive</i> ,	
24	Counterclaim and Crossclaim	
25	Defendants.	
26	///	
27		ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO
28		EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO CROSSCLAIM BETWEEN MALAKAN
		DIAMOND CO. AND HAROLD JAFFE

-1-

JEWELRY, INC.

1	Based on the "Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Crossclaim" between
2	Crossclaimant Malakan Diamond Co. and Cross-defendant Harold Jaffe Jewelry, Inc. filed on
3	February 23, 2017 (Doc. 20), it is ORDERED that Cross-defendant Harold Jaffe Jewelry, Inc.'s
4	response to Crossclaimant Malakan Diamond Co.'s crossclaims shall be filed no later than March
5	20, 2017.
6	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	Dated: March 1, 2017
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO
	1

DRDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO CROSSCLAIM BETWEEN MALAKAN DIAMOND CO. AND HAROLD JAFFE JEWELRY, INC.

28