1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RICARDO MARTINEZ,	CASE No. 1:16-cv-1658-AWI-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER FOR CLARIFICATION
13	v.	
14 15	D. DAVEY, et al.,	FOURTEEN-DAY DEADLINE
16	Defendants.	
17	On September 15, 2020, this case was stayed and referred to the Post-Screening ADR	
18	Project. Defendants have since submitted notice of their willingness to participate in an early	
19	settlement conference. Plaintiff has also filed a notice, but it is ambiguous. (Doc. 65.) On one hand,	
20	he indicates that he does not wish to engage in an early settlement conference. On the other, he	
21	indicates that he would like to participate in the settlement conference in person. Due to this	
22	ambiguity, the Court ORDERS plaintiff to submit a supplemental notice within fourteen days	
23	clarifying whether he wishes to engage in an early settlement conference.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		/s/ Ionnifor I Thurston
26	Dated: October 22, 2020	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		