

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 ROGER WALKER,  
7  
8 Plaintiff,  
9 v.  
10 TIM POOLE, et al.,  
11 Defendants.

Case No. 1:16-cv-01665-AWI-EPG (PC)  
ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS  
(ECF NOS. 111, 137)

12  
13  
14 Roger Walker (“Plaintiff”) is a civil detainee proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in  
15 this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United  
16 States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

17 This case proceeds “against defendants Saloum, Poole, Perryman, Davis, and Nicks on  
18 Plaintiff’s claim for failure to protect in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment.” (Doc. No. 35,  
19 p. 2).

20 On December 17, 2019, defendants Davis, Nicks, Perryman, and Poole moved for  
21 summary judgment. (ECF No. 111). On July 10, 2020, Magistrate Judge Erica P. Grosjean  
22 entered findings and recommendations, recommending that “[d]efendants Davis, Nicks,  
23 Perryman, and Poole’s motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 111) be GRANTED,” and that  
24 “[j]udgment be entered in favor of defendants Davis, Nicks, Perryman, and Poole.”<sup>1</sup> (Doc. No.  
25 137, p. 20).

26  
27 <sup>1</sup> Defendant Saloum also filed a motion for summary judgment, and the July 2020 findings and  
28 recommendation also addressed Saloum’s motion. Saloum objected to the findings and recommendation. The  
Court will address Saloum’s motion and the findings and recommendation as to Saloum’s motion in a separate  
order. This order is limited to the motion of Defendants Davis, Nicks, Perryman, and Poole and the analysis of the  
findings and recommendation as to their motion.

