



1 and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after  
2 service. (Id.) Following three extensions of time, Plaintiff's objections were timely filed on  
3 March 5, 2018. (ECF No. 28.)

4 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a  
5 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the  
6 findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

7 As indicated, the Court has reviewed Plaintiff's objections. Plaintiff merely lists the  
8 additional Eighth Amendment claims against the remaining defendants, which she alleges are  
9 cognizable. As Plaintiff was repeatedly informed, she may not bring unrelated claims against  
10 unrelated parties in a single action. Fed. R. Civ. P. 18(a), 20(a)(2); Owens v. Hinsley, 635 F.3d  
11 950, 952 (7th Cir. 2011); George v. Smith, 507 F.3d 605, 607 (7th Cir. 2007). Plaintiff has  
12 provided no argument that justifies the joinder in this action of all claims regarding different  
13 breathing emergencies arising on different dates, spanning multiple years, involving different  
14 defendants.

15 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 16 1. The findings and recommendations issued on November 9, 2017, (ECF. No. 20), are  
17 adopted in full;
- 18 2. This action shall proceed on Plaintiff's first amended complaint for claims of  
19 deliberate indifference to medical needs against Defendant Mirelez for not giving  
20 Plaintiff her breathing treatment despite Plaintiff's need for the treatment on February  
21 11, 2014, and against Defendant Hoehing for not assisting Plaintiff when Defendant  
22 came to Plaintiff's cell and Plaintiff was having an asthma attack on February 11,  
23 2014;
- 24 3. Plaintiff's remaining claims against Defendants Mirelez and Hoehing, and all other  
25 claims and defendants, are dismissed from this action, without prejudice, for the  
26 failure to state properly joined and cognizable claims upon which relief may be  
27 granted; and

28 ///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

4. This action is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for proceedings consistent with this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 6, 2018

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill  
UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE