1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT
5	EASTERN D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6		
7	CARLOS BURNETT,	1:16-cv-01672-LJO-GSA-PC
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
9	vs.	(ECF No. 45.)
10	K. SEDILLO, et al.,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT DYER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
11	Defendants.	(ECF No. 37.)
12		ORDER FOR CLERK TO ENTER
13		JUDGMENT FOR DEFENDANT DYER
14		ORDER FOR THIS CASE TO PROCEED AGAINST DEFENDANTS MEIER,
15		REYNAGA, HUCKLEBERRY, AND GARCIA
16		
17		

Carlos Burnett ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On October 3, 2018, findings and recommendations were entered, recommending that defendant Dyer's motion for summary judgment, filed on August 15, 2018, be granted. (ECF No. 45.) The parties were granted fourteen days in which to file objections to the findings and recommendations. (Id.) The fourteen-day deadline has now expired, and no objections have been filed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

1	Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:		
2	1.	The findings and recommendations entered on October 3, 2018, are ADOPTED	
3		in full;	
4	2.	Defendant Dyer's motion for summary judgment, filed on August 15, 2018, is	
5		GRANTED;	
6	3.	Summary judgment is GRANTED in favor of defendant Dyer on Plaintiff's claim	
7		for use of excessive force under the Eighth Amendment;	
8	4.	This action now proceeds against defendants Meier, Reynaga, Huckleberry, and	
9		Garcia, for use of excessive force in violation of the Eighth Amendment;	
10	5.	The Clerk is directed to enter judgment in favor of defendant Dyer and reflect the	
11		dismissal of defendant Dyer from this action on the court's docket; and	
12	6.	This case is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings.	
13			
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
15	Dated:	November 3, 2018/s/ Lawrence J. O'NeillUNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE	
16		UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	