1	McCormick, Barstow, Sheppard,			
2	Wayte & Carruth LLP Marshall C. Whitney, CA # 82952			
_	marshall.whitney@mccormickbarstow.com			
3	Ben Nicholson, CA # 239893			
4	ben.nicholson@mccormickbarstow.com Shane G. Smith, CA # 272630			
	shane.smith@mccormickbarstow.com			
5	7647 North Fresno Street			
6	Fresno, California 93720 Telephone: (559) 433-1300			
	Facsimile: (559) 433-2300			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant,			
8	GALLO CATTLE COMPANY d/b/a JOSEPH			
	GALLO FARMS, a California limited partnership			
9	LEWIS & LLEWELLYN LLP			
10				
11	Evangeline A.Z. Burbidge, CA # 266966			
11	505 Montgomery Street, Suite 1300 San Francisco, California 94111			
12	Telephone: (415) 800-0590			
13	Facsimile: (415) 390-2127			
13	Email: pllewellyn@lewisllewellyn.com eburbidge@lewisllewellyn.com			
14				
15	Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Plaintiff SAPUTO DAIRY FOODS USA, LLC			
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
17	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION			
18	GALLO CATTLE COMPANY d/b/a JOSEPH GALLO FARMS, a California limited	Case No. 1:16-cv-01673-LJO-EPG		
19		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		
20		ORDER TO AMEND THE		
20	Plaintiff,	SCHEDULING ORDER		
21	v.	HON. ERICA P. GROSJEAN		
22	SAPUTO DAIRY FOODS USA, LLC, a	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
23	Delaware limited liability company,	Action Filed: October 3, 2016		
23	Defendant.	Trial Date: June 5, 2018		
24				
25	AND RELATED COUNTER-CLAIMS.			
26				
27	District and Country Defendant CALL	O CATTLE COMPANY 4/L/2 LOGERIL CALLO		
28	Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant, GALLO CATTLE COMPANY d/b/a JOSEPH GALLO FARMS ("Gallo") and Defendant and Counter-Plaintiff SAPUTO DAIRY FOODS USA, LLC			
20	FARMS (Gallo) and Defendant and Counter	-riamum saputu daikt fuuds usa, LLC		

MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP 7647 NORTH FRESNO STREET FRESNO, CA 93720 extension of the discovery period set forth in the Amended Scheduling Conference Order (see ECF 23). In support of this stipulation, the parties state as follows:

("Saputo") respectfully submit this stipulated request that the Court grant a second, limited

- 1. The Court set a pretrial schedule in this case on January 24, 2017 (ECF 15), and discovery opened on February 10, 2017 with the parties' exchange of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) Initial Disclosures. Thereafter the parties have mutually responded to written discovery and produced documents in response to requests for production. No depositions have yet taken place nor are any scheduled at present.
- 2. Throughout the fact discovery period, the parties have informally discussed settlement in good faith without achieving a resolution on their own. The parties engaged in a mediation session before the Hon. James A. Ardaiz (Ret.) in Fresno, California on June 30, 2017. Representatives from both parties with full settlement authority were present at the mediation. The mediation was productive and the parties are hopeful that a formal resolution can be reached within the next thirty (30) days. The parties are continuing to engage in settlement discussions, and the Hon. James A. Ardaiz has agreed to continue to participate in the process.
- 3. On June 9, 2017, at the parties' request, the Court amended the Scheduling Conference Order governing the pretrial phase of this action to extend the dates for the Non-Expert Discovery Cutoff, Expert Disclosure, Rebuttal Expert Disclosure and Expert Discovery Cutoff in order to facilitate the June 30, 2017 mediation. (*See* ECF No. 21.)
- 4. On July 10, 2017, at the parties' request, the Court again amended the Scheduling Conference Order governing the pretrial phase of this action to extend the dates for the Non-Expert Discovery Cutoff, Expert Disclosure, Rebuttal Expert Disclosure and Expert Discovery Cutoff in order to allow the parties to continue discussing settlement. (*See* ECF No. 23.)
- 5. On August 8, 2017, the parties appeared at the Joint Mid-Discovery Status Conference in this matter. Among other things, the parties represented to the Court that they were continuing to discuss settlement and that they may be requesting a further extension of the discovery deadlines in order to allow these negotiations to continue. The Court indicated it was inclined to grant such an extension so long as the dates for the Pretrial Conference and Trial in this

matter were not affected.

6. The parties respectfully request that the Court grant a further 30-day extension of the fact discovery cut-off date, with associated extensions of the expert discovery dates, in order to give the parties the opportunity to continue the settlement discussions that commenced on June 30, 2017 prior to incurring the costs and burdens associated with fact depositions and expert discovery. As per the Court's statement at the August 8, 2017 conference, these extensions will not affect the Pretrial Conference or Trial Date.

- 7. Pursuant to L.R. 144(b), the parties state that they have previously requested and received two 30-day extensions from the Court (*see* ECF 21 and ECF 23).
 - 8. The proposed extension will not impact any deadlines before the Court.
- 9. Therefore, the parties respectfully request that the Amended Scheduling Order entered on July 10, 2017 be further amended as follows:

<u>Event</u>	Current Scheduling Order <u>Date</u>	Proposed Scheduling Order <u>Date</u>
Non-Expert Discovery Cutoff	September 15, 2017	October 16, 2017
Expert Disclosure	September 27, 2017	October 20, 2017
Rebuttal Expert Disclosure	October 20, 2017	November 6, 2017
Expert Discovery Cutoff	November 9, 2017	November 17, 2017
Dispositive Motion Filing Deadline	November 21, 2017	November 21, 2017
Pretrial Conference	April 5, 2018	April 5, 2018
Premar Conference	11:00 a.m., Dept. 10	11:00 a.m., Dept. 10
Ingr. Trial	June 5, 2018	June 5, 2018
Jury Trial	8:30 a.m., Dept. 10	8:30 a.m., Dept. 10

///

26 || / / /

27 || / / /

28 || / /

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,	
2	Dated: August 29, 2017	McCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP
3		WATTE & CARROTTI ELI
4		By:/s/Ben Nicholson
5		Marshall C. Whitney Ben Nicholson
6		Shane G. Smith
7		Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant, GALLO CATTLE COMPANY d/b/a JOSEPH
8		GALLO FARMS
9	Dated: August 29, 2017	LEWIS & LLEWELLYN LLP
10		/a/ Doul T. I lavvaller
11		By:/s/ Paul T. Llewellyn Paul T. Llewellyn
12		Marc R. Lewis Evangeline A.Z. Burbidge
13		Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Plaintiff SAPUTO DAIRY FOODS USA, LLC
14		SAI UIU DAIRI I UUDS USA, LLC
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		4

-oOo-SIGNATURE ATTESTATION I hereby attest that concurrence has been obtained from Paul T. Llewellyn, counsel for Saputo Dairy Foods USA, LLC, as indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed document. /s/ Ben Nicholson Ben Nicholson -oOo-

1 ||

ORDER

Upon consideration of the Parties' Stipulation to Amend the Scheduling Order, and for good cause appearing, the Scheduling Conference Order governing the pretrial phase of the above-captioned litigation is amended as set forth above.

<u>Event</u>	Current Scheduling Order <u>Date</u>	Proposed Scheduling Order <u>Date</u>
Non-Expert Discovery Cutoff	September 15, 2017	October 16, 2017
Expert Disclosure	September 27, 2017	October 20, 2017
Rebuttal Expert Disclosure	October 20, 2017	November 6, 2017
Expert Discovery Cutoff	November 9, 2017	November 17, 2017

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 5, 2017 /s/ Erici

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE