

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
5

6 **ASHLEY GONZALEZ,**

7 **Plaintiff,**

8 **v.**

9 **COUNTY OF MERCED and GREGORY M.**
10 **RICH,**

11 **Defendants.**

1:16-cv-1682-LJO-SAB

**ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS IN FULL (Doc.
43)**

12
13 The Court previously adopted in full the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation that the Court
14 dismiss with leave to amend dismiss Plaintiff Ashley Gonzalez’s *Monell*¹ claim against Defendant
15 County of Merced (“the County”). Plaintiff filed a first amended complaint (“the FAC”) in which she
16 again asserts a *Monell* claim against the County. The Magistrate Judge has again issued Findings and
17 Recommendations (“F&Rs”) in which he recommends granting the County’s motion to dismiss
18 Plaintiff’s *Monell* claim in the FAC, again with leave to amend. Plaintiff timely filed objections to the
19 F&Rs to which the County timely responded. Docs. 44, 45.

20 In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C) and *Britt v. Simi Valley United School Dist.*, 708
21 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983), the Court has conducted a de novo review of the record and finds that the
22 F&Rs are supported by proper analysis and the record. Accordingly, the Court ORDERS that:

23 1. The F&Rs (Doc. 43) are ADOPTED IN FULL;

24
25 ¹ *Monell v. Dep’t of Soc. Servs. of City of N.Y.*, 436 U.S. 658 (1978).

2. Plaintiff's *Monell* claim is DISMISSED WITH LEAVE TO AMEND;
3. Plaintiff shall have one final opportunity to amend the complaint; and
4. Any amended complaint shall be filed by July 14, 2017.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 28, 2017

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE