PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney BOBBIE J. MONTOYA Assistant United States Attorney Eastern District of California 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814-2322 Telephone: (916) 554-2775 5 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900 Email: Bobbie.Montoya@usdoj.gov 6 7 Attorneys for Petitioner United States of America 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 1:16-CV-01692-AWI-MJS 11 12 Petitioner. MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S FINDINGS AND 13 **RECOMMENDATIONS AND ORDER RE:** v. I.R.S. SUMMONS ENFORCEMENT 14 MANUEL CARRAZCO REA. 15 Taxpayer: MANUEL CARRAZCO REA Respondent. 16 17 18 19 This matter came on before Magistrate Judge Michael J. Seng on February 24, 2017, 20 under the Order to Show Cause filed November 15, 2016. ECF 4. The order, with the verified 21 petition filed November 4, 2016, ECF 1, and its supporting memorandum, ECF 3-1, was 22 personally served on Respondent, on December 22, 2016, in Madera, California. ECF 5. 23 Respondent did not file opposition or non-opposition to the verified petition as provided for in 24 the Order to Show Cause. At the hearing, Bobbie J. Montoya, Assistant United States Attorney, 25 personally appeared on behalf of Petitioner, and investigating Revenue Officer Lorena Ramos 26 also was present in the courtroom. Respondent did not appear at the hearing. 27 //// 28 ////

The Verified Petition to Enforce I.R.S. Summons initiating this proceeding seeks to enforce an administrative summons issued December 1, 2015. *See* Exhibit A to the Petition, ECF 1-2. The summons is part of an investigation of the respondent to determine the existence and amount of U.S. tax liability and collection of the tax liability for Form 940 for the calendar periods ending December 31, 2006, December 31, 2007, and December 31, 2008; Form 943 for the calendar periods ending December 31, 2006, and December 31, 2007, and Form CIVPEN for the calendar period ending December 31, 2006.

Subject matter jurisdiction is invoked under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1340 and 1345, and is found to be proper. I.R.C. §§ 7402(b) and 7604(a) (26 U.S.C.) authorize the government to bring the action. The Order to Show Cause shifted to the respondent the burden of rebutting any of the four requirements of *United States v. Powell*, 379 U.S. 48, 57-58 (1964).

I have reviewed the petition and documents in support. Based on the uncontroverted petition verified by Revenue Officer Lorena Ramos and the entire record, I make the following findings:

- (1) The summons (Exhibit A to the Petition, ECF 1-2) issued by Revenue Officer Lorena Ramos on December 1, 2015, and served upon Respondent on December 4, 2015, seeking testimony and production of documents and records in Respondent's possession, was issued in good faith and for a legitimate purpose under I.R.C. § 7602, that is, to determine the existence and amount of U.S. tax liability and collection of the tax liability for Form 940 for the calendar periods ending December 31, 2006, December 31, 2007, and December 31, 2008; Form 943 for the calendar periods ending December 31, 2006, and December 31, 2007, and Form CIVPEN for the calendar period ending December 31, 2006.
 - (2) The information sought is relevant to that purpose.
- (3) The information sought is not already in the possession of the Internal Revenue Service.
- (4) The administrative steps required by the Internal Revenue Code have been followed.

28 /

- (5) There is no evidence of referral of this case by the Internal Revenue Service to the Department of Justice for criminal prosecution.
- (6) The verified petition and its exhibits made a *prima facie* showing of satisfaction of the requirements of *United States v. Powell*, 379 U.S. 48, 57-58 (1964).
- (7) The burden shifted to respondent, Manuel Carrazco Rea, to rebut that *prima facie* showing.
- (8) Respondent presented no argument or evidence to rebut the *prima facie* showing. I therefore recommend that the I.R.S. summons served upon Respondent, Manuel Carrazco Rea, be enforced and that Respondent be ordered to appear at the I.R.S. offices at 2525 Capitol Street, Suite 206, Fresno, CA 93721, before Revenue Officer Lorena Ramos or her designated representative, on the twenty-first (21st) day after the filing date of the District Judge's summons enforcement order, or at a later date to be set in writing by Revenue Officer Ramos, then and there to be sworn, to give testimony, and to produce for examining and copying the books, checks, records, papers and other data demanded by the summons, the examination to continue from day to day until completed. I further recommend that if it enforces the summons, the Court retain jurisdiction to enforce its order by its contempt power.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and (C) and Rule 304 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Within fourteen (14) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be titled "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen (14) days after service of the objections. The District Judge will then review these findings and recommendations pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

////

THE CLERK SHALL SERVE this and further orders by mail to Manuel Carrazco Rea, 1509 Wrenwood Way, Madera, CA 93638. IT IS SO ORDERED. 1st Michael J. Seng Dated: March 6, 2017 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE