1 2 3 4 5 6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARIO KING,	) Case No.: 1:16-cv-01695-DAD-BAM (PC)
12 13	Plaintiff, v.	<ul> <li>ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION</li> <li>UNDER EX PARTE STATUS REQUESTING</li> <li>RESPONSE TO FILED DOCUMENTS AS MOOT</li> </ul>
14	J. BARRIOS,	)
15	Defendant.	) (ECF No. 8)
16		) _)
17		
18	Plaintiff Mario King ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action	
19	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff requested leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28	
20	U.S.C. § 1915, and the request was granted on November 8, 2016. (ECF No. 5.)	
21	On April 5, 2017, Plaintiff filed the instant motion requesting a response to ECF Nos. 2 and 3.	
22	Plaintiff contends that he has not received a response to his request to proceed in forma pauperis, and	
23	requests an explanation as to why he is still being charged the filing fee of \$350. (ECF No. 8.)	
24	With respect to ECF No. 2, the Court responded to Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma	
25	pauperis in an order dated November 8, 2016, granting Plaintiff's motion. (ECF No. 8.) With respect	
26	to ECF No. 3, this is the Court's first informational order, and as such does not require a response	
27	from the Court. Therefore, Plaintiff's request for a response to ECF Nos. 2 and 3 is unnecessary and	
28	shall be denied as moot.	

1	Plaintiff is reminded that a grant of leave to proceed in forma pauperis merely authorizes "the	
2	commencement, prosecution or defense of any suit without <b>prepayment</b> of fees." 28 U.S.C.	
3	§ 1915(a)(1) (emphasis added). Though Plaintiff has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis,	
4	he remains obligated to pay the statutory filing fee of \$350.00. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1)	
5	("Notwithstanding subsection (a), if a prisoner brings a civil action or files an appeal in forma	
6	pauperis, the prisoner shall be required to pay the full amount of a filing fee.").	
7	For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion requesting a response to filed documents, (ECF	
8	No. 8), is HEREBY DENIED as moot.	
9		
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11	Dated: April 6, 2017 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe	
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26		
27 28		
20	2	