1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	LINDA LEE SCHLYTER,	Case No. 1:16-cv-01710-SKO
8		ORDER TO AMEND APPLICATION TO
9	v.	PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
10		(Doc. 3)
11	Acting Commissioner of Social Security, Defendant. /	
12	Defendant. /	
13	ORDER	
14	Plaintiff Linda Lee Schlyter filed a complaint on November 9, 2016, along with an	
15	application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. (Docs. 1 & 3.) Plaintiff's	
16	application fails to set forth answers to questions numbered 4 through 8 regarding amount of cash	
17	or money in a checking or savings account (question number 4), items of value owned (question	
18	number 5), regular monthly expenses (question number 6), dependents (question number 7), and	
19	debts (question number 8). Therefore, the Court is unable to determine at this time whether	
20	Plaintiff is entitled to proceed without prepayment of fees in this action.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within 28 days from the date of this	
22	Order, Plaintiff shall file an amended application to proceed in forma pauperis that fully responds	
23	to <u>all</u> applicable questions, including questions numbered 4 through 8.	
24		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: November 15, 2016	s  Sheila K. Oberto
27	t	JNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		
-		