

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LINDA LEE SCHLYTER,
Plaintiff,

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,
Defendant.

Case No. 1:16-cv-01710-SKO

**ORDER THAT PLAINTIFF FILE
AMENDED APPLICATION TO
PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, PAY
FILING FEE, OR SHOW CAUSE WHY
CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED**

Plaintiff Linda Lee Schlyter filed a complaint on November 9, 2016, along with an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. (Docs. 1 & 3.) On November 16, 2016, the Court ordered Plaintiff to file an amended application to proceed in forma pauperis that set forth answers to questions numbered 4 through 8 regarding amount of cash or money in a checking or savings account (question number 4), items of value owned (question number 5), regular monthly expenses (question number 6), dependents (question number 7), and debts (question number 8). (Doc. 5.) Plaintiff's amended application was due to be filed "within 28 days from the date" of the order, or by December 13, 2016. (*See id.*)

No amended application was filed December 13, 2016. (*See Docket.*) As such, **by no later than February 10, 2017**, Plaintiff shall (1) file an amended application to proceed *in forma pauperis* that fully responds to all applicable questions, including questions numbered 4 through 8; (2) pay the \$400 filing fee; or (3) file a statement showing cause why this case should not be

1 dismissed for failure to comply with the Court's order. Failure to respond to this Order to Show
2 Cause will result in dismissal of this action.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: February 3, 2017

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28