2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	PARAMOUNT PETROLEUM ) Case No.: 1:16-cv-01722 LJO JLT CORPORATION ,
12	) ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE Plaintiff, ) COURT TO CLOSE THE CASE
13	v. ) COURT TO CLOSE THE CASE
14	AMERISURE MUTUAL INSURANCE )
15	CORPORATION, )
16	Defendant. )
17	
18	On February 3, 2017, the plaintiff filed a notice of dismissal. (Doc. 9) Federal Rules of Civil
19	Procedure Rule 41 provides that "the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing: (i)
20	a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary
21	judgment" Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). Once such a notice has been filed, an order of the Court is
22	not required to make the dismissal effective. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose,
23	111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to close this action
24	in light of the notice of dismissal with prejudice filed and properly signed pursuant to Rule 41(a).
25	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.
27	Dated: February 6, 2017 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
28	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	1