(PC) Baker v. Be	am et al	Doc. 38	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	MIKE BAKER,	) Case No.: 1:16-cv-01737-AWI-BAM (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO UPDATE PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS OF RECORD	
13	v.	ORDER REFERRING CASE TO POST-	
14	E. BEAM, et al.,	) SCREENING ADR AND STAYING CASE FOR 65 DAYS	
15	Defendants.	) ) Date: <b>November 8, 2019</b>	
16		) Time: 8:30 a.m. ) Place: California State Prison, Corcoran	
17		Before the Honorable Stanley A. Boone	
18	Plaintiff Mike Baker is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil		
19	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants Vogel, Diaz, Caldwell, Jarvis, Pacillas,		
20	Cribbs, Benavides, Cuevas, Beam, Cervantes, Huerta, Goree, and Vasquez have waived personal		
21	service of a summons pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(d). (ECF No. 37.)		
22	Because it takes years to get to trial, the Court has identified this case as an appropriate case		
23	for post-screening ADR (Alternative Dispute Resolution), which is an effort to resolve such cases		
24	more expeditiously and less expensively. No claims, defenses, or objections shall be waived by the		
25	parties' participation. In appropriate cases, defense counsel from the California State Attorney		
26	General's Office has agreed to participate in these early settlements.		
27 28	Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone to conduct a		
20	settlement conference at the California State Prison, Corcoran, 4001 King Avenue, Corcoran, CA		
		1	

///

93212 on **November 8, 2019, at 8:30 a.m.** The Court will issue the necessary transportation order in due course.

The parties shall each submit to Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone a confidential settlement conference statement, as described below, to arrive at least seven days (one week) prior to the conference.

The Court puts the parties on notice that if Plaintiff has any outstanding criminal restitution obligation, fines and/or penalties, these settlement negotiations shall not be geared towards what the restitution obligation is, but what the value the of the case itself is to each side, irrespective of any outstanding restitution obligation.

## Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Clerk of the Court is directed to update Plaintiff's address of record to:

Mike Baker CDCR # K-76592 Mule Creek State Prison P.O. Box 409099 Ione, CA 95640

- 2. The parties remain obligated to keep the Court informed of their current address at all times while the action is pending. Any change of address must be reported promptly to the Court in a separate document captioned for this case and entitled "Notice of Change of Address." See Local Rule 182(f).
- 3. This case is STAYED for 65 days to allow the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before the discovery process begins. Except as provided herein or by subsequent court order, no other pleadings or other documents may be filed in this case during the stay of this action. The parties shall not engage in formal discovery, but may engage in informal discovery to prepare for the settlement conference.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If the case does not settle, the Court will then lift the stay and reset all applicable deadlines, including the deadline for the filing of any responsive pleading.

	l
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

- 4. This case is set for a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone on **November 8, 2019, at 8:30 a.m.**, at the California State Prison, Corcoran, located at 4001 King Avenue, Corcoran, CA 93212.
- 5. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding settlement shall attend in person.<sup>2</sup>
- 6. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses, and damages.

  The failure or refusal of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in person may result in the cancellation of the conference and the imposition of sanctions. The manner and timing of Plaintiff's transportation to and from the conference is within the discretion of CDCR.
- 7. Defendants shall provide a confidential settlement statement to the following email address: saborders@caed.uscourts.gov. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement statement to U.S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, 93721, "Attention: Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone." The envelope shall be marked "Confidential Settlement Statement". Settlement statements shall arrive no later than November 1, 2019. Parties shall also file a Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Statement. See Local Rule 270(d). Settlement statements should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on any other party. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of

While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences...." <u>United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands</u>, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9th Cir. 2012) ("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. <u>G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp.</u>, 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989), <u>cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss</u>, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9th Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. <u>Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u>, 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), <u>amended on recon. in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u>, 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. <u>Pitman</u>, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle. <u>Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc.</u>, 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

27

28