

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL JACOBSEN,
Plaintiff,
v.
KIRK POOL,
Defendant.

Case No. 1:16-cv-01760-BAM (PC)
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED, WITH PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, FAILURE TO OBEY A COURT ORDER, AND FAILURE TO PROSECUTE
(ECF No. 8)
TWENTY (20) DAY DEADLINE

Plaintiff Michael Jacobsen (“Plaintiff”) is a former pretrial detainee proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff initiated this action on November 21, 2016. (ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff has consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction. (ECF No. 4.)

On June 13, 2017, the Court issued an order dismissing Plaintiff’s complaint with leave to amend within thirty (30) days. (ECF No. 8.) The Court expressly warned Plaintiff that the failure to file an amended complaint in compliance with the Court’s order would result in this action being dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to obey a court order and failure to state a claim. (Id. at 5.) The deadline for Plaintiff to file an amended complaint has passed, and he has not complied with the Court’s order.

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall show cause in writing, within **twenty (20) days** of service of this order, why this action should not be dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to comply with the Court’s June 13, 2017 order, failure to state a claim, and failure to prosecute. Plaintiff can comply with this order to show cause by filing an amended complaint. **The failure to comply with this order will result in the dismissal of this action, with prejudice, for failure to state a claim, failure to obey a court order, and failure to prosecute.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 24, 2017

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE