

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TERINA MAY MENGES,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting  
Commissioner of Social Security,  
Defendant.

Case No. 1:16-cv-01766-BAM  
  
ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO  
EXTEND TIME TO FILE PLAINTIFF'S  
REPLY NUNC PRO TUNC  
  
(ECF No. 15)

On September 12, 2017, the parties filed a stipulation to extend the time for Plaintiff to file a reply brief from August 31, 2017 to September 13, 2017. Plaintiff's counsel reported that she had an unexpected significant health issue that prevented her from completing the reply brief in a timely manner. (ECF No. 15.) On September 13, 2017, Plaintiff filed her reply brief. (ECF No. 16.)

Generally, requests for court-approved extensions brought after the required filing date are looked upon with disfavor. *See, e.g.* Local Rule 144(d). However, Plaintiff has established good cause for the requested extension, and the brief delay will not result in prejudice. Accordingly, Plaintiff's request for an extension of time to September 13, 2017, to file her reply brief is GRANTED nunc pro tunc.  
IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 19, 2017

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28