1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	TED BLACKMON,	1:16-cv-01773-GSA-PC	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR	
13	V.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	
14	SGT. J. BENAVIDES, et al.,	(Document# 9)	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	On January 12, 2017, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel.		
18	Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, <u>Rand v. Rowland</u> ,		
19	113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent		
20	plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the		
21	Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain		
22	exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to		
23	section 1915(e)(1). <u>Rand</u> , 113 F.3d at 1525.		
24	Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek		
25	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether		
26	"exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims <i>pro se</i> in light of the		
27	complexity of the legal issues involved." <u>Id</u> . (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).		
28			
	1	1	

1	In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. At this	
2	early stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a determination that Plaintiff is likely to	
3	succeed on the merits. Plaintiff filed the Complaint on November 22, 2016, less than two months	
4	ago, and the Complaint awaits the court's screening required under 28 U.S.C. 1915. Thus, to date	
5	the Court has not found any cognizable claims in Plaintiff's Complaint for which to initiate	
6	service of process, and no other parties have yet appeared. Moreover, based on a review of the	
7	record in this case, the Court finds that Plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims.	
8	Therefore, Plaintiff's motion shall be denied without prejudice to renewal of the motion at a later	
9	stage of the proceedings.	
10	For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY	
11	DENIED, without prejudice.	
12		
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13	Dated: January 19, 2017 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	