

1 comply ... with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all
2 sanctions ... within the inherent power of the Court.” This Court has the inherent power to
3 manage its docket. *Thompson v. Housing Auth.*, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may
4 dismiss an action, with prejudice, based on a party’s failure to prosecute an action, failure to obey
5 a court order, or failure to comply with local rules. *See, e.g., Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54
6 (9th Cir. 1995) (dismissal for noncompliance with local rule); *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258,
7 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with an order requiring amendment of
8 complaint); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for failure to
9 comply with local rule requiring *pro se* plaintiffs to keep court apprised of address); *Henderson v.*
10 *Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for lack of prosecution and failure to
11 comply with local rules).

12
13
14 In determining whether to dismiss an action for lack of prosecution, failure to obey a
15 court order, or failure to comply with local rules, the court must consider several factors: (1) the
16 public’s interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court’s need to manage its docket;
17 (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on
18 their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic alternatives. *Ghazali*, 46 F.3d at 53; *Ferdik*,
19 963 F.2d at 1260-61; *Thompson*, 782 F.2d at 831; *Henderson*, 779 F.2d at 1423-24.

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Given the above, Plaintiff is ordered to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for a failure to comply with this Court's order. Plaintiff is ORDERED to file a written response to this Order to Show Cause **no later than December 15, 2017**. In the alternative, Plaintiff may file the Opening Brief by that same date. *Plaintiff is advised that failure to file a timely response to this order will result in dismissal of this action.* The Clerk of the Court is ordered to serve this OSC on Plaintiff as the address listed on the docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 29, 2017

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE