

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TIMOTHY MARCUS WIGGINS,
Plaintiff,
v.
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,
Defendant.

Case No. 1:16-cv-01788-SAB
ORDER RE STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION
OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO FILE
RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF’S OPENING BRIEF
(ECF No. 19)

On October 3, 2017, Defendant filed a stipulation to extend the time for her to file her response to Plaintiff’s opening brief. (ECF No. 19.)

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Defendant shall file a response to Plaintiff’s opening brief on or before October 24, 2017;
2. Plaintiff’s reply, if any, shall be filed on or before November 8, 2017; and
3. The parties are advised that due to the impact of social security cases on the Court’s docket and the Court’s desire to have cases decided in an expedient manner, requests for modification of the briefing scheduling will not routinely be granted and will only be granted upon a showing of good cause. Further, requests to modify the briefing schedule that are made on the eve of a deadline will be

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

looked upon with disfavor and may be denied absent good cause for the delay in seeking an extension. If done after a deadline, the party seeking an extension must show additional good cause why the matter was filed late with the request for nunc pro tunc.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 4, 2017


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE