1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 RICKY RIVERA, Case No. 1:16-cv-01817-AWI-BAM (PC) 12 Plaintiff. ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING 13 DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN CLAIMS AND v. **DEFENDANTS** 14 DAVE DAVEY, et al., (ECF No. 33) 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff Ricky Rivera is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States 18 19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On February 11, 2020, the assigned Magistrate Judge issued findings and 21 recommendations recommending that this action proceed on Plaintiff's second amended 22 complaint against Defendants Robicheaux, Chapoleun, and Crain for violations of the Free 23 Exercise Clause of the First Amendment and against Defendant Davey for a deficient policy that 24 violates the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment, and that all other claims and defendants be dismissed from the action. (ECF No. 33.) The findings and recommendations 25 26 were served on Plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within 27 fourteen (14) days after service. (Id. at 12.) No objections have been filed and the time in which

28

to do so has now passed.

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a	
2	de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the	
3	findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.	
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
5	1.	The findings and recommendations issued on February 11, 2020, (ECF No. 33),
6		are adopted in full;
7	2.	This action shall proceed on Plaintiff's second amended complaint, filed on
8		September 20, 2019, (ECF No. 32), against Defendants Robicheaux, Chapoleun,
9		and Crain for violations of the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment and
10		against Defendant Davey for a deficient policy that violates the Free Exercise
11		Clause of the First Amendment;
12	3.	All other claims and defendants are dismissed from this action based on Plaintiff's
13		failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; and
14	4.	This action is referred back to the assigned Magistrate Judge for further
15		proceedings.
16	IT IS SO ORDERED	
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
18	Dated: Marc	SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		