1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DOUGLAS J. STEVENSON,	Case No. 1:16-cv-01831-AWI-JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER AFTER INFORMAL TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE
13	<b>v.</b>	(Doc. 66)
14	K. HOLLAND, et al.,	(Doc. 00)
15	Defendants.	
16		]
17	The Court held an informal telephone conference regarding discovery disputes <sup>1</sup> . (Doc. 66)	
18	Before the conference, defense counsel agreed to produce the full evidence log for the videotape	
19	of the interview of plaintiff taken on December 7, 2012. There was no agreement as to any other	
20	issue. Thus, the Court authorized plaintiff to file his motion to compel.	
21	The motion to compel SHALL comply with Local Rule 251(c). Failure to do so will result	
22	in the Court dropping the matter from calendar.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: August 27, 2019	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDDE
27		
28	<sup>1</sup> As an aside, the Court notes that the dispute regarding the bulk of these items has existed since November	

<sup>2018.</sup> Thus, if the plaintiff decides to pursue a motion to compel, he SHALL discuss the timeliness of the motion.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28