1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	LENDWARD ALTON MIXON, JR.,	Case No. 1:16-cv-01868-DAD-BAM (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER REFERRING CASE TO POST- SCREENING ADR AND STAYING CASE	
13	v.	FOR 120 DAYS	
14	TYSON, et al.,	Hearing: Settlement Conference	
	, ,	Date: August 30, 2018	
15	Defendants.	Date: August 30, 2018 Time: 10:00 a.m. Judge: Stanley A. Boone	
15 16			
16 17		Time: 10:00 a.m. Judge: Stanley A. Boone	
16 17 18	Defendants.	Time: 10:00 a.m. Judge: Stanley A. Boone Courtroom: 9	
16 17 18 19	Defendants. Plaintiff Lendward Alton Mixon, Jr. (Time: 10:00 a.m. Judge: Stanley A. Boone Courtroom: 9 "Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and	
16 17 18 19 20	Defendants. Plaintiff Lendward Alton Mixon, Jr. (in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pro-	Time: 10:00 a.m. Judge: Stanley A. Boone Courtroom: 9 "Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and ursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants Metts and	
16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendants. Plaintiff Lendward Alton Mixon, Jr. (in forma pauperis in this civil rights action polymenez (erroneously sued as "Jiminez") have	Time: 10:00 a.m. Judge: Stanley A. Boone Courtroom: 9 "Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and arsuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants Metts and e answered the complaint. (ECF No. 23.)	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff Lendward Alton Mixon, Jr. (in forma pauperis in this civil rights action possible description of the second se	Time: 10:00 a.m. Judge: Stanley A. Boone Courtroom: 9 "Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and arsuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants Metts and e answered the complaint. (ECF No. 23.) the Court has identified this case as an appropriate	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff Lendward Alton Mixon, Jr. (in forma pauperis in this civil rights action possible description of the second se	Time: 10:00 a.m. Judge: Stanley A. Boone Courtroom: 9 "Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and arsuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants Metts and e answered the complaint. (ECF No. 23.) the Court has identified this case as an appropriate spute Resolution), which is an effort to resolve such	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff Lendward Alton Mixon, Jr. (in forma pauperis in this civil rights action possible properties are as "Jiminez") have the Because it takes years to get to trial, to case for post-screening ADR (Alternative Discusses more expeditiously and less expensively	Time: 10:00 a.m. Judge: Stanley A. Boone Courtroom: 9 "Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and arsuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants Metts and e answered the complaint. (ECF No. 23.) the Court has identified this case as an appropriate spute Resolution), which is an effort to resolve such y. No claims, defenses, or objections shall be	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff Lendward Alton Mixon, Jr. (in forma pauperis in this civil rights action produced by Jimenez (erroneously sued as "Jiminez") have Because it takes years to get to trial, to case for post-screening ADR (Alternative Discusses more expeditiously and less expensively waived by the parties' participation. In approximately approximately approximately approximately action.	Time: Judge: Stanley A. Boone Courtroom: 9 "Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and arsuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants Metts and e answered the complaint. (ECF No. 23.) the Court has identified this case as an appropriate spute Resolution), which is an effort to resolve such y. No claims, defenses, or objections shall be opriate cases, defense counsel from the California	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff Lendward Alton Mixon, Jr. (in forma pauperis in this civil rights action possible description of the second of the se	Time: Judge: Stanley A. Boone Courtroom: 9 "Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and arsuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants Metts and e answered the complaint. (ECF No. 23.) the Court has identified this case as an appropriate spute Resolution), which is an effort to resolve such y. No claims, defenses, or objections shall be opriate cases, defense counsel from the California	

stating a cognizable claim does not mean Plaintiff will prevail at trial. Thus, the Court stays this

action for a period of 120 days to allow the parties to investigate Plaintiff's claims, meet and confer, and then participate in a settlement conference.

Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone to conduct a settlement conference at the United States Courthouse in Fresno, California on **August 30, 2018, at 9:30 a.m.** The Court will issue the necessary transportation order in due course.

In issuing this order, there is a presumption that this case will proceed to a settlement conference.¹ However, if after investigating Plaintiff's claims and speaking with Plaintiff, and after conferring with others, defense counsel in good faith finds that a settlement conference would be a waste of resources, defense counsel may move to opt out of this early settlement conference. A written notice to opt out must be filed within thirty (30) days of the date of the issuance of this order.

The parties shall each submit to Judge Boone a confidential settlement conference statement, as described below, to arrive at least seven days (one week) prior to the conference.

The Court puts the parties on notice that if Plaintiff has any outstanding criminal restitution obligation, fines and/or penalties, these settlement negotiations shall not be geared towards what the restitution obligation is, but what the value of the case itself is to each side, irrespective of any outstanding restitution obligation.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. This action is STAYED for 120 days to allow the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before the discovery process begins. Except as provided herein or by subsequent court order, no other pleadings or other documents may be filed in this case during the stay of this action. The parties shall not engage in formal discovery, but may engage in informal discovery to prepare for the settlement conference.
- This case is set for a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone on August 30, 2018, at 10:00 a.m., at the United States Courthouse located at 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California.

¹ If the case does not settle, the court will then lift the stay of its Discovery and Scheduling Order or issue one forthwith.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

3.	A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding
	settlement shall attend in person.

- 4. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages. The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in person may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference will not proceed and may be reset to another date.
- 5. Defendants shall provide a confidential settlement statement to the following email address: saborders@caed.uscourts.gov. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement statement to U.S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, 93721, "Attention: Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone." The envelope shall be marked "Confidential Settlement Statement". Settlement statements shall arrive no later than August 23, 2018. Parties shall also file a Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Statement. See Local Rule 270(d). Settlement statements should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on any other party. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.
- 6. The confidential settlement statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length, typed or neatly printed, and include the following:
 - a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
 - b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
 - c. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and trial.
 - d. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.

25

26

27

28

1		e. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the settlement	
2		conference, including how much a party is willing to accept and/or willing to	
3		pay.	
4	7.	If a settlement is reached at any point during the stay of this action, the parties shall	
5		file a Notice of Settlement in accordance with Local Rule 160.	
6	8.	If the defense counsel wishes to "opt- out" of this settlement for the reasons stated	
7		above, counsel must do so within thirty (30) days of this order by filing a "Notice of	
8		Opt-Out and Request to Vacate Settlement Conference."	
9	9.	The parties remain obligated to keep the Court informed of their current address at all	
10		times during the stay and while the action is pending. Any change of address must be	
11		reported promptly to the Court in a separate document captioned for this case and	
12		entitled "Notice of Change of Address." <u>See</u> Local Rule 182(f).	
13	10. A failure to follow these procedures may result in the imposition of sanctions by the		
14		court.	
15	IT IC CO.		
16	11 15 50 0	ORDERED.	
17	Dated:	May 24, 2018 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe	
18		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	1		