

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANDREW PERKINS,

Case No. 1:16-cv-01877-LJO-SKO

Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING WITHOUT
PREJUDICE REQUEST TO ENTER
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER**

v.

(Doc. 13)

NESTLÉ DREYER'S ICE CREAM
COMPANY,

Defendant.

Before the Court is the parties' request that the Court enter a proposed Stipulated Protective Order (the "Request"). (Doc. 13.) Local Rule 141.1 provides, in pertinent part, that any proposed protective order must include "[a] showing as to why the need for protection should be addressed by a court order, as opposed to a private agreement between or among the parties." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 141.1(c)(3). The parties' proposed Stipulated Protective Order does not provide such a showing. (See Doc. 13.)

Accordingly, the Court DENIES the parties' Request, (id.), WITHOUT PREJUDICE. The parties may file a revised proposed stipulated protective order that satisfies the requirements of the Local Rules of this Court.
IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 2, 2017

/s/ Sheila H. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE