8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
DONALD JA	CKSON,	Case No. 1:16-cv-01917-SAB	
	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION	
v.		OF TIME TO FILE OPENING BRIEF	
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL		(ECF No. 14)	
SECURITY,	Defendent		
	Derendant.		
On August 16, 2017, Plaintiff filed a stipulation to extend the time for him to file his			
opening brief. (ECF No. 14.) Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:			
1.	1. Plaintiff shall file an opening brief on or before September 22, 2017;		
2.	Defendant's response to Pla	intiff's opening brief shall be filed on or before	
	October 23, 2017;		
3.	Plaintiff's reply, if any, shall be filed on or before November 7, 2017; and		
4.	The parties are advised that due to the impact of social security cases on the		
	Court's docket and the Court's desire to have cases decided in an expedient		
	manner, requests for modification of the briefing scheduling will not routinely be		
	granted and will only be grant	ed upon a showing of good cause. Further, requests	
		ule that are made on the eve of a deadline will be	
	v. COMMISSIO SECURITY, On Au opening brief. 1. 2. 3.	EASTERN DIST DONALD JACKSON, Plaintiff, v. COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY, Defendant. On August 16, 2017, Plaintiff filed opening brief. (ECF No. 14.) Accordingly, 1 1. Plaintiff shall file an opening 2. Defendant's response to Pla October 23, 2017; 3. Plaintiff's reply, if any, shall to 4. The parties are advised that Court's docket and the Cour manner, requests for modifical	

1	looked upon with disfavor and may be denied absent good cause for the delay in
2	seeking an extension. If done after a deadline, the party seeking an extension
3	must show additional good cause why the matter was filed late with the request
4	for nunc pro tunc.
5	
6	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	Dated: August 16, 2017 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2