1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	MONICO J. QUIROGA III,	Case No. 1:17-cv-00004-DAD-JDP
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY DEFENDANT J. MORENO SHOULD NOT
11	v.	BE DISMISSED FROM CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE
12	C. COOPER, et al.,	PREJUDICE
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff Monico J. Quiroga III is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights	
16	action. He brings an excessive force claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendants	
17	C. Cooper and J. Moreno. The court ordered service as to both defendants on July 10, 2017, and	
18	service was returned unexecuted as to J. Moreno on November 27, 2017. <sup>1</sup> (Doc. Nos. 20, 30.)	
19	Despite the court's efforts to assist with service as to J. Moreno (Doc. Nos. 27, 36), he remains	
20	unserved. Counsel for defendants has informed the court that the Kern County Sheriff's Office	
21	has neither a current employee named J. Moreno nor record of employing a J. Moreno at the time	
22	of the alleged incident. (Doc. No. 50 at 2.)	
23	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) provides for a "time limit for service" as follows:	
24	complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or	
25		
26		
27		
28	<sup>1</sup> Service has been executed as to defendant C. Cooper, and he has filed an answer to the complaint. (Doc. No. 44.)	

cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period . . . . Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Accordingly, Plaintiff is hereby ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE why defendant J. Moreno should not be dismissed from this case without prejudice based on plaintiff's failure to effectuate service of process. Plaintiff shall file a written response within 21 days of this Order. Failure to comply with this Order may result in the dismissal of defendant J. Moreno without prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED. 1st Jeremy D. Peterson Dated: <u>June 19, 2018</u>