## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 BRANDON FAVOR, 1:17-cv-00028-JLT (HC) 12 Petitioner. ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR 13 THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA v. 14 MAXINE ANDERSON, 15 Respondent. 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 17 28 U.S.C. § 2254. 18 The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity 19 jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all 20 defendants reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events 21 or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the 22 subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if 23 there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). 24 In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from Los Angeles County, which is 25 in the Central District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United 26 States District Court for the Central District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal 27 court may transfer a case filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 28

1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974). Accordingly, the Court ORDERS that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **January 19, 2017** /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE