1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ERIK DANIEL RAMIREZ,	1:17 -cv-00029-JLT (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	v.	(Doc. 3)
14	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION,	
15		
16	Respondent.	
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute	
18	right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d	
19	479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). However, Title	
20	18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case if "the	
21	interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the	
22	present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of	
23	counsel at the present time. Accordingly, the Court ORDERS that Petitioner's request for	
24	appointment of counsel is <b>DENIED</b> .	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26		
27	Dated: January 19, 2017	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
28	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28