

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 SISOMPHONE PHANVONGKHAM,
12 FELICIA NAVARRO,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 vs.

15 GSF PROPERTIES, INC., *et al.*,

16 Defendants.
17

Case No. 1:17-cv-00051-DAD-EPG

ORDER STRIKING AND SEALING
MOTIONS TO PROCEED IN FORMA
PAUPERIS AND GRANTE LEAVE TO RE-
FILE

(ECF Nos. 6-7)

18 Plaintiffs Sisomphone Phanvongkham and Felicia Navarro, appearing *pro se*, filed a
19 Complaint on January 12, 2017 (ECF No. 1) and two motions to proceed *in forma pauperis*
20 (ECF Nos. 2-3). On January 13, 2017, the Court issued an order striking all three filings
21 because they contained private identifying information concerning a minor. (ECF No. 4.)
22 Leave was granted to re-file the documents. (*Id.*)

23 On February 2, 2017, the Plaintiffs re-filed the complaint (ECF No. 5) and two motions
24 to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF Nos. 6-7).

25 The Court has reviewed the re-filed Complaint and Motions to Proceed *In Forma*
26 *Pauperis*. The Court finds that re-filed Complaint does not contain private identifying
27 information concerning a minor. To the extent that the Plaintiffs intended to re-file Complaint
28 under seal, that request is denied due to the absence of good cause. *See* CAED-LR 141(a).

