1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 JAMES CRAMBLIT, Case No.: 1:17-cv-00058-SAB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO 13 v. DEFENDANTS' ANSWER CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF 14 [ECF No. 23] CORRECTION AND REHABILITATION, 15 et al.. Defendants. 16 17 18 Plaintiff James Cramblit is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant 19 to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. 20 This action shall proceed on Plaintiff's ADA claim against the CDCR and Warden Fisher. 21 On July 11, 2017, Defendants' filed an answer to Plaintiff's complaint. (ECF No. 21.) On 22 August 1, 2017, Plaintiff filed a response to Defendants' answer. (ECF No. 23.) 23 24 Rule 7 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides as follows: There shall be a complaint and an answer; a reply to a counterclaim denominated as 25 such; an answer to a cross-claim, if the answer contains a cross-claim; a third-party 26 complaint, if a person who was not an original party is summoned under the provisions of Rule 14; and a third-party answer, if a third-party complaint is served. No other 27 pleading shall be allowed, except that the court may order a reply to an answer or a third-party answer. 28

1	Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(a). Because the Court did not order Plaintiff to reply to Defendants' answer	
2		
3	Plaintiff's response is HEREBY STRICKEN	from the record.
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	SIR
6	Dated: <u>August 2, 2017</u>	July N. Lase
7		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	I	