1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		
12	JOSE TRUJILLO,) No. 1:17-cv-00059-DAD-SKO
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO CLOSE CASE
14	vs. LUIS ARMANDO HUERTA'S SUPER)
15	TACO, INC., et al.,) (Doc. 21)
16	Defendants.	
17	Defendants.	
18)
19		
20	On August 20, 2017, Plaintiff filed the parties' stipulation that this action be dismissed	
21	with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). (Doc. 21.)	
22	In relevant part, Rule 41(a)(1)(A) provides as follows:	
23	[A] plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing: (i) a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment; or (ii) a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared.	
24		
25		
26	Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A). Rule 41 thus allows the parties to dismiss an action voluntarily	
27	after service of an answer, by filing a written stipulation to dismiss signed by all of the parties	
28		

who have appeared, although an oral stipulation in open court will also suffice. See Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1472-73 (9th Cir. 1986). Once the stipulation between the parties who have appeared is properly filed or made in open court, no order of the court is necessary to effectuate dismissal. Case law concerning stipulated dismissals under Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) is clear that the entry of such a stipulation of dismissal is effective automatically and does not require judicial approval. Commercial Space Mgmt. Co. v. Boeing Co., 193 F.3d 1074, 1077 (9th Cir. 1999). Because the parties have filed a stipulation for dismissal of this case with prejudice under Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) that is signed by all parties who have made an appearance, this case has terminated. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). Accordingly IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. 1st Sheila K. Oberto Dated: September 22, 2017 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE