



1 objections to the findings and recommendations and requested that his requests for a temporary  
2 restraining order and preliminary injunction be transferred to the Sacramento Division of the  
3 Eastern District of California. (Doc. No. 12.)


4 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the court has conducted a  
5 *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings  
6 and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. To the extent  
7 plaintiff seeks to have his requests for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction  
8 transferred to the Sacramento Division of this court, that request will be denied as moot since  
9 plaintiff's motions for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction were docketed in  
10 Case No. 2:17-cv-00326-EFB on April 10, 2017.

11 Based on the foregoing,

- 12 1. The court adopts in full the findings and recommendations filed February 28, 2017  
13 (Doc. No. 11); and
- 14 2. Plaintiff's motions for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction  
15 (Doc. Nos. 7, 8) are denied without prejudice to their consideration in Case No.  
16 2:17-cv-00326-EFB.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: May 2, 2017

19   
20 \_\_\_\_\_  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28