1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RODERICK WILLIAM LEAR,	No. 1:17-cv-00071-DAD-MJS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	A. MANASRAH et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS, AND DENYING MOTION FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
15	Defendants.	(Doc. Nos. 18, 22)
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights	
19	action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States	
20	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302 of the United States	
21	District Court for the Eastern District of California.	
22	On May 22, 2017, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
23	recommending that plaintiff's request for injunctive relief be denied. (Doc. No. 22.) Plaintiff's	
24	objections were due within fourteen days of the date of service of those findings and	
25	recommendations. Plaintiff has not filed objections to those findings and recommendations and	
26	the deadline for doing so has passed.	
27	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the court has conducted a	
28	de novo review of this case. Having carefully	y reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings
		1
	1	

1	and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.	
2	Accordingly,	
3	1. The May 22, 2017 findings and recommendations (Doc. No. 22) are adopted in full;	
4	and	
5	2. Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief (Doc. No. 18) is denied.	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: August 14, 2017 Jale A. Dagd	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	
	-	