| 1 | | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | DARREN VINCENT FORD, | Case No. 1:17-cv-00074-BAM (PC) |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 13 | v. | |
| 14 | J. LEWIS, et al., | |
| 15 | Defendants. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff Darren Vincent Ford ("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil | |
| 18 | rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis under | |
| 19 | 28 U.S.C. § 1915, on January 17, 2017. (ECF Nos. 1, 2.) | |
| 20 | In his complaint, Plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by employees at California | |
| 21 | Health Care Facility in Stockton, California. (ECF No. 1, p. 1.) The alleged violations occurred in | |
| 22 | San Joaquin County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United States District Court | |
| 23 | for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have been filed in the | |
| 24 | Sacramento Division. | |
| 25 | Under Local Rule 120(f), a court may on its own motion transfer a civil action that has not | |
| 26 | been commenced in the proper court to the proper court. Therefore, the Court will transfer this | |
| 27 | action to the Sacramento Division, and will not rule on Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma | |
| 28 | pauperis. | |
| | | 4 |

| 1 | Good cause appearing, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that: | |
|-----|---|--|
| 2 | 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of | |
| 3 | California sitting in Sacramento; and | |
| 4 | 2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be | |
| 5 | filed at: | |
| 6 | United States District Court | |
| 7 | Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sograments, CA 05814 | |
| 8 | Sacramento, CA 95814 | |
| 9 | 3. This Court has not ruled on Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis. | |
| 10 | ATT ME CO. OPPOSITE | |
| 11 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 12 | Dated: January 20, 2017 /s/ Bashara A. McAuliffe | |
| 13 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| _,, | i | |