

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
5

6 RICHARD RISHER,  
7 Plaintiff,  
8 v.  
9 DR. EBINOSA, et al.,  
10 Defendants.  
11

1:17-cv-00087-EPG (PC)

ORDER FOR PLAINTIFF TO SHOW  
CAUSE WHY CASE SHOULD NOT BE  
DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY  
WITH A COURT ORDER

(ECF NO. 13)

THIRTY DAY DEADLINE

12 Richard Risher ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*  
13 with this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 30, 2016, Plaintiff  
14 filed the complaint commencing this action. (ECF No. 1). On March 14, 2017, the Court  
15 found cognizable claims and ordered a response from Plaintiff. (ECF No. 11) On April 5,  
16 2017, the Plaintiff notified the Court that he would stand on his complaint. (ECF No. 12). On  
17 April 6, 2017, the Court dismissed certain claims and forwarded service documents to Plaintiff  
18 for completion and return within thirty days. (ECF No. 13). The Court also notified Plaintiff  
19 that failure to complete and return the service documents within 30 days could result in  
20 dismissal of this action. (ECF No. 13, p. 3).

21 The time period has expired and Plaintiff has not returned the service documents.  
22 Therefore, Plaintiff will be ordered to show cause why the Court should not dismiss this case  
23 for failure to comply with a Court order. The Court notes that if Plaintiff completes and returns  
24 the service documents within thirty days of the date of service of this order, the Court will  
25 discharge this order to show cause. If Plaintiff does not respond to this order, the Court will  
26 dismiss the case for failure to prosecute and comply with a court order.

27 \\\

28 \\\

