

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CHARLES PICOU,
Plaintiff
v.
HENRY SCHEIN, INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. 1:17-cv-00089-LJO-EPG
**ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF
THE COURT TO CLOSE CASE**
(ECF No. 9)

On July 31, 2017, the parties filed a stipulation to dismiss this action with prejudice. (ECF No. 9.) Both parties have agreed to the dismissal. In light of the stipulation, the case has ended, *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); *Wilson v. City of San Jose*, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and is dismissed with prejudice. *Concha v. London*, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995) (“Even if the defendant has filed a motion to dismiss, the plaintiff may terminate his action voluntarily by filing a notice of dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1).”). Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is **DIRECTED** to close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **August 1, 2017**

/s/ Eric P. Gray
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28