1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
<u>6</u>		
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	JAMES ALFRED CUNHA,	CASE NO. 1:17-cv-00094-DAD-MJS
13	Plaintiff,	(PC)
14	v.	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO
15 16	CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUP, et al.,	FILE EITHER AN AMENDED COMPLAINT OR A NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL
17	Defendants.	(ECF No. 14)
18		FOURTEEN DAY DEADLINE
19		
20		
21	Plaintiff is a county jail inmate proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil	
22	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On May 16, 2017, Plaintiff's first amended	
23	complaint was dismissed with thirty days leave to amend. (ECF No. 13.)	
24	On June 9, 2017, Plaintiff filed a "Motion to File an Appeal." (ECF No. 14.) Plaintiff	
25	complains that his limited education and lack of access to legal resources have rendered	
26	him unable to "complete [his] civil rights complaint to the Court's satisfaction."	
27	It is unclear from this filing what Plaintiff wishes to do.	

To the extent Plaintiff is asking this Court to reconsider its screening Order, he

does not say what part of the Order he wants reconsidered and he does not identify grounds for reconsideration.

To the extent Plaintiff seeks higher review, his case is not yet ripe, ready, for review by the District Court Judge or the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal because, since this Court granted leave to amend to address the deficiencies identified by the Court, there is as yet no dispositive order for him to appeal. If Plaintiff wishes to stand on his first amended complaint and have the District Judge or Appellate Court review it, he can take no further action, and the undersigned will recommend the case be dismissed. Plaintiff can then challenge the dismissal.

However, Plaintiff is reminded that he was granted "leave to amend". That gives him an opportunity to try to fix what was wrong with his first amended complaint. If he chooses to amend, he can and should review the Court's Order to see what problems and deficiencies the Court found with his first amended compliant and then try to correct them in a revised version of that complaint called a "Second Amended Complaint." It is not required that one have legal training to do that.

Thus, Plaintiff is hereby DIRECTED to notify the Court, within fourteen days, whether he seeks reconsideration of the undersigned's screening Order; if so, he must identify where he thinks the Order is wrong and why he thinks it is wrong. Otherwise, he must, within fourteen days of this order, file either an amended complaint or a notice of voluntary dismissal. Failure to respond to this order may result in the dismissal of Plaintiff's case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>June 14, 2017</u>

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Ist Michael J. Sen