UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3	
PHILLIP JOHN SENA,	1:17-cv-00096-SKO (HC)
Petitioner,	
v.	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SCOTT KERNAN,	
Respondent.	
10 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to	
1 28 U.S.C. § 2254.	
The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity	
13 jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all	
14 defendants reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events	
or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the	
subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if	
there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).	
18 In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from Riverside County, which is in	
19 the Central District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United	
20 States District Court for the Central District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal	
1 court may transfer a case filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. §	
1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).	
3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United	
24 States District Court for the Central District of California.	
II IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: January 25, 2017	Isl Sheila K. Oberto
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
1	
	EASTERN DIST PHILLIP JOHN SENA, Petitioner, v. SCOTT KERNAN, Respondent. Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The federal venue statute requires the jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a jurisdiction occurs subject of the action is situated, or (3) a jurisdiction occurs subject of the action is situated, or (3) a jurisdiction may or In this case, the petitioner is challenge the Central District of California. Therefore, States District Court for the Central District of California and the wrow 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORD States District Court for the Central District of California and the wrow IT IS SO ORDERED.