1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 PATRICK JACKSON. 10 Case No. 1:17-cv-00110-MJS (PC) 11 ORDER DENYING MOTION TO Plaintiff, COMPEL 12 ٧. (ECF No. 4) 13 L. SMALLEY, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil 17 rights action brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1983. 18 19 20 without prejudice because it is premature. 21 22

On January 24, 2017, Plaintiff filed a request for production of documents, which was docketed as a motion to compel. (ECF No. 4.) Plaintiff's request will be denied

Plaintiff initiated this action on January 24, 2017, and his complaint presently is pending screening. The Court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The Court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised claims that are legally "frivolous, malicious," or that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1),(2). "Notwithstanding any filing

23

24

25

26

27

28

fee, or any portion thereof, that may have been paid, the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that . . . the action or appeal . . . fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii). This requirement is mandatory. The Court will screen Plaintiff's complaint in due course.

In the event the Court screens Plaintiff's complaint and determines that it states a cognizable claim, the Court will order service upon the Defendants. The Court will not open discovery until Defendants have been served with the complaint and filed a responsive pleading. At that time, Plaintiff may serve his discovery requests directly upon the Defendants. Such requests need not, and indeed should not, be filed with the Court. In the meantime, however, Plaintiff's request is premature.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's request for production of documents, which was docketed as a motion to compel, is HEREBY DENIED without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>January 27, 2017</u>

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1st Michael V. Seng