UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LAMAR SINGLETON, SR.,

1:17-cv-00124-DAD-GSA-PC

12 | Plaintiff,

ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF AND

Ws.

DEFENDANT TO NOTIFY COURT WHETHER A SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE WOULD BE BENEFICIAL

DR. FORTUNE, et al.,

BACKGROUND

TWENTY-ONE DAY DEADLINE

Defendants.

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

17

I.

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

II. SETTLEMENT PROCEEDINGS

settlement conference in this case. (ECF No. 56.)

The court is able to refer cases for mediation before a participating United States Magistrate Judge. Settlement conferences are ordinarily held in person at the court or at a prison in the Eastern District of California. Plaintiff and Defendant Fortune shall notify the

Lamar Singleton, Sr., ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma

On January 22, 2018, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting the court to schedule a

pauperis in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This case now proceeds

with the First Amended Complaint filed on February 19, 2016, against defendant Fortune

("Defendant") on Plaintiff's medical claim pursuant to the Eighth Amendment. (ECF No. 25.)

court whether they believe, in good faith, that settlement in this case is a possibility and whether they are interested in having a settlement conference scheduled by the court.¹

Defendant's counsel shall notify the court whether there are security concerns that would prohibit scheduling a settlement conference. If security concerns exist, counsel shall notify the court whether those concerns can be adequately addressed if Plaintiff is transferred for settlement only and then returned to prison for housing.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within **twenty-one** (21) days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff and Defendant Fortune shall file a written response to this order.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 29, 2018 /s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

¹ The parties may wish to discuss the issue by telephone in determining whether they believe settlement is feasible.

² The issuance of this order does not guarantee referral for settlement, but the Court will make every reasonable attempt to secure the referral should both parties desire a settlement conference.