

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 LAMAR SINGLETON, SR.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 DR. FORTUNE, et al.,

15 Defendants.

1:17-cv-00124-DAD-GSA-PC

ORDER IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S
OBJECTIONS
(ECF No. 75.)

TWENTY-DAY DEADLINE TO:

- 16 (1) FILE NEW OBJECTIONS, OR
17 (2) FILE OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO
COMPEL

18 ORDER FOR CLERK TO SEND COPY OF
MOTION TO COMPEL TO PLAINTIFF
19 (ECF No. 51.)

20 TWENTY-DAY DEADLINE
21

22 On July 8, 2018, the court entered findings and recommendations to dismiss this case
23 based on Plaintiff's failure to comply with the court's order of March 26, 2018. (ECF No. 72.)

24 On June 8, 2018, Plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (ECF No 75.)

25 It appears that Plaintiff misunderstood the findings and recommendations. In his
26 objections, Plaintiff refers to his efforts to file an opposition to Defendant's *motion for summary*
27 *judgment*. (ECF No. 75.) However, the findings and recommendations were entered based on
28 Plaintiff's failure to file an opposition to Defendant's *motion to compel*. Due to this apparent

1 misunderstanding, Plaintiff shall be granted twenty days in which to either (1) file new
2 objections, or (2) file an opposition to Defendant's *motion to compel*. The court shall send
3 Plaintiff him a copy of the *motion to compel*.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

5 1. Plaintiff is granted twenty days from the date of service of this order in which to
6 either:

7 (1) file new objections to the findings and recommendations; or

8 (2) file an opposition to Defendant's *motion to compel*;

9 2. The Clerk is directed to send Plaintiff a copy of Defendant's *motion to compel* filed
10 on September 29, 2017 (ECF No. 51); and

11 3. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order may result in the dismissal of this case.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: June 12, 2018

14 /s/ Gary S. Austin
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE