UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LARRY WILLIAM CORTINAS,

Plaintiff,

vs.

M. HUERTA, et al.,

Defendants.

1:17-cv-00130-AWI-GSA-PC

ORDER REQUIRING PARTIES TO NOTIFY COURT WHETHER A SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE WOULD BE BENEFICIAL

THIRTY-DAY DEADLINE

I. BACKGROUND

Larry William Cortinas ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* with this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This case now proceeds with Plaintiff's original Complaint filed on January 30, 2017, against defendant Scalia for use of excessive force in violation of the Eighth Amendment. (ECF No. 1.)

On December 4, 2017, the Court issued a Discovery and Scheduling Order establishing deadlines for the parties, including a discovery deadline of May 4, 2018, and a dispositive motion filing deadline of July 5, 2018. (ECF No. 19.) On August 21, 2018, the court issued another scheduling order establishing deadlines of February 21, 2019 for completion of discovery and March 22, 2019, for the filing of dispositive motions. (ECF No. 39.) On August 31, 2018, the court extended the dispositive motions deadline to April 22, 2019. (ECF No. 40.) On February 28, 2019, the court granted Plaintiff's motion to modify the scheduling order and extended the discovery deadline to May 24, 2019 and the dispositive motions deadline to July 24, 2019. (ECF No. 44.) All of the deadlines have now expired, and no motions are pending.

At this stage of the proceedings, the Court ordinarily proceeds to schedule the case for trial.

II. SETTLEMENT PROCEEDINGS

The Court is able to refer cases for mediation before a participating United States Magistrate Judge. Settlement conferences are ordinarily held in person or remotely at the Court or at a prison in the Eastern District of California. Plaintiff and Defendant Scalia shall notify the Court whether they believe, in good faith, that settlement in this case is a possibility and whether they are interested in having a settlement conference scheduled by the Court.¹

Defendant Scalia's counsel shall notify the Court whether there are security concerns that would prohibit scheduling a settlement conference. If security concerns exist, counsel shall notify the Court whether those concerns can be adequately addressed if Plaintiff is transferred for settlement only and then returned to prison for housing.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within **thirty** (**30**) **days** from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff and Defendant Scalia shall each file a written response to this order notifying the Court whether they believe, in good faith, that settlement in this case is a possibility and whether they are interested in having a settlement conference scheduled by the Court.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 16, 2021

/s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

² The issuance of this order does not guarantee referral for settlement, but the Court will make every reasonable attempt to secure the referral should both parties desire a settlement conference.

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 1}~$ The parties may wish to discuss the issue by telephone in determining whether they believe settlement is feasible.