

1 Defendants Vasquez and Stanley and dismissing all other claims and defendants from the action. On
2 July 13, 2017, Plaintiff notified the Court of his intent to proceed only against Defendants Vasquez
3 and Stanley for retaliation in violation of the First Amendment.

4 Accordingly, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

5 1. This action shall proceed on the first amended complaint on Plaintiff's damages claim
6 for retaliation against Defendants Vasquez and Stanley; and

7 2. All other claims and defendants be dismissed from the action for failure to state a
8 cognizable claim for relief.

9 These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge
10 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within **fourteen (14) days**
11 after being served with these findings and recommendations, Plaintiff may file written objections with
12 the Court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and
13 Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may
14 result in the waiver of rights on appeal. Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014)
15 (citing Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).

16
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: July 14, 2017


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE