1			
2			
3			
<u>4</u>			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	THOMAS J. MELGER,	1:17-cv-00141-MJS (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE	
14	MARSHALL HOPPER, et al.,	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant		
18	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to		
19 20	28 U.S.C. § 1915.		
20 21	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The		
21 22	alleged violations took place in Placer County, which is part of the Sacramento Division		
22	of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have been filed in the Sacramento Division. Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in		
23 24			
25			
26	the proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not		
27			
28	rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.		
	Good cause appearing, IT IS HER	EB <sub>1</sub> Y ORDERED that:	

1	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern	
2	District of California sitting in Sacramento; and	
3	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and	
4	shall be filed at:	
5	United States District Court Eastern District of California	
6	501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814	
7	3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10		
11	Dated: <u>February 1, 2017</u> Isl Michael J. Seng	
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25 26		
20 27		
28		
20	2	